

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ERIC L. EGGERS, and RACHEL D.
STANTEISKI,

Defendants.

4:22CR3133

ORDER

Defendants have moved to continue the pretrial motion deadline, (Filing Nos. 35 and 36), because Defendants and their counsel need additional time to fully review the discovery received before deciding if pretrial motions should be filed. The motion to continue is unopposed. Based on the showing set forth in the motion, the court finds the motion should be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendants' motions to continue, (Filing Nos. 35 and 36), are granted.
- 2) As to both defendants, pretrial motions and briefs shall be filed on or before January 12, 2023.
- 3) As to both defendants, the trial of this case is continued pending further order of the court.
- 4) A telephonic conference with counsel will be held before the undersigned magistrate judge at 3:30 p.m. on January 19, 2023 to discuss setting any pretrial motion hearing needed, a change of plea hearing, or the date of the jury trial and deadlines for disclosing experts as required under Rule 16. Counsel for all parties shall use the conferencing instructions provided by the court to participate in the call.

- 5) The ends of justice served by granting the motion to continue outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, and as to both defendants, the additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, the time between today's date and January 19, 2023 shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, because although counsel have been duly diligent, additional time is needed to adequately prepare this case for trial and failing to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1), (h)(6) & (h)(7). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 29th day of November, 2022.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Cheryl R. Zwart
United States Magistrate Judge